

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8                   AT SEATTLE

9 JENNY Y. CHOW AND PETER S. CHOW,  
10                   Plaintiffs,  
11                   v.  
12                   UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL,  
13                   et al.,  
14                   Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-CV-607-RSL

ORDER REQUIRING MORE  
DEFINITE STATEMENT

15                   On May 23, 2019, plaintiffs' application to proceed *in forma pauperis* was granted and  
16 their complaint was accepted for filing. Dkt. #8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the  
17 Court may dismiss an *in forma pauperis* action if the complaint is frivolous or fails to state a  
18 claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiffs' complaint contains several conclusory  
19 allegations that the government is engaged in some sort of conspiracy against them, along with  
20 six additional defendants. See generally Dkt. #1-2. Their allegations range from Speedy Trial  
21 Act violations and fraud by former counsel, id. at 5, to tampering with a water meter, id. at 7–8,  
22 to due process violations by various courts, id. at 9–10, to surveillance and radiation-poisoning.  
23 Id. at 12. The conduct complained of spans almost three decades, beginning in 1991. Id. at 5.  
24 Several of these seem to raise statute of limitations concerns.  
25

26                   Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires "a short and plain statement of the claim  
27 showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Whether plaintiffs have asserted a plausible claim  
28 cannot be ascertained from the complaint. Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 676–77 (2009).

1 For all the foregoing reasons, the Court declines to issue summons in this matter.  
2 Plaintiffs are hereby ORDERED to file on or before August 2, 2019, an amended complaint that  
3 clearly and concisely identifies the acts of which defendants are accused and how these acts  
4 violated plaintiffs' legal rights. Plaintiffs are advised to include facts that would allow the Court  
5 to conclude that plaintiffs have a right to relief that is plausible.

6 The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to place this Order Requiring More Definite Statement  
7 on the Court's calendar for consideration on Friday, August 2, 2019.

DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2019.

Mrs Casnik

---

Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge